New York State Grade 6-8 Expository Writing Evaluation Rubric

		SCORE					
CRITERIA	CCLS	4	3	2	1	0	
		Essays at this level:	Essays at this level:	Essays at this level:	Essays at this level:	Essays at this level:	
CONTENT AND ANALYSIS: the extent to which the essay conveys complex ideas and information clearly and accurately in order to support claims in an analysis of topics or texts	W.2 R.1–9	—clearly introduce a topic in a manner that is compelling and follows logically from the task and purpose  —demonstrate insightful analysis of the text(s)	— clearly introduce a topic in a manner that follows from the task and purpose      —demonstrate grade-appropriate analysis of the text(s)	<ul> <li>introduce a topic in a manner that follows generally from the task and purpose</li> <li>demonstrate a literal comprehension of the text(s)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>introduce a topic in a manner that does not logically follow from the task and purpose</li> <li>demonstrate little understanding of the text(s)</li> </ul>	—demonstrate a lack of comprehension of the text(s) or task	
COMMAND OF EVIDENCE: the extent to which the essay presents evidence from the provided texts to support analysis and reflection	W.9 R.1–9	—develop the topic with relevant, well-chosen facts, definitions, concrete details, quotations, or other information and examples from the text(s)  —sustain the use of varied, relevant evidence	-develop the topic with relevant facts, definitions, details, quotations, or other information and examples from the text(s)  -sustain the use of relevant evidence, with some lack of variety	—partially develop the topic of the essay with the use of some textual evidence, some of which may be irrelevant  —use relevant evidence inconsistently	—demonstrate an attempt to use evidence, but only develop ideas with minimal, occasional evidence which is generally invalid or irrelevant	—provide no evidence or provide evidence that is completely irrelevant	
COHERENCE, ORGANIZATION, AND STYLE: the extent to which the essay logically organizes complex ideas, concepts, and information using formal style and precise language	W.2 L.3	—exhibit clear organization, with the skillful use of appropriate and varied transitions to create a unified whole and enhance meaning      —establish and maintain a formal style, using grade-appropriate, stylistically sophisticated language	-exhibit clear organization, with the use of appropriate transitions to create a unified whole  -establish and maintain a formal style using precise language and domain-specific vocabulary	—exhibit some attempt at organization, with inconsistent use of transitions      —establish but fail to maintain a formal style, with inconsistent use of language and domain-specific	—exhibit little attempt at organization, or attempts to organize are irrelevant to the task  —lack a formal style, using language that is imprecise or inappropriate for the text(s) and	-exhibit no evidence of organization  -use language that is predominantly incoherent or copied directly from the text(s)	
precise language	L.6	and domain-specific vocabulary with a notable sense of voice  —provide a concluding statement or section that is compelling and follows clearly from the topic and information presented	—provide a concluding statement or section that follows from the topic and information presented	—provide a concluding statement or section that follows generally from the topic and information presented	—provide a concluding statement or section that is illogical or unrelated to the topic and information presented	—do not provide a concluding statement or section	
CONTROL OF CONVENTIONS: the extent to which the essay demonstrates command of the conventions of standard English grammar, usage, capitalization, punctuation, and spelling	W.2 L.1 L.2	—demonstrate grade-appropriate command of conventions, with few errors	—demonstrate grade-appropriate command of conventions, with occasional errors that do not hinder comprehension	—demonstrate emerging command of conventions, with some errors that may hinder comprehension	—demonstrate a lack of command of conventions, with frequent errors that hinder comprehension	—are minimal, making assessment of conventions unreliable	

- If the prompt requires two texts and the student only references one text, the response can be scored no higher than a 2.
- If the student writes only a personal response and makes no reference to the text(s), the response can be scored no higher than a 1.
- Responses totally unrelated to the topic, illegible, incoherent, or blank should be given a 0.
- A response totally copied from the text(s) with no original student writing should be scored a 0.